



International Journal of Advance Research, IJOAR .org

Volume 1, Issue 10, October 2013, Online: ISSN 2320-9186

## **EFFECT OF CLIMATE CHANGE ON ENDANGERED VEGETATION IN MIDDLE AND NORTH OF KSA**

---

Abdel Rahman A. Alzan

*Biology Dept., Faculty of Sciences & Arts (Almikhwah) , Al Baha University, PO Box 1988, KSA*

### **Abstract**

A succession of environmental events over the last few years has led to a dramatically increased awareness of the issue of global climate change. Global climate change is affecting the distribution of marine species and is thought to represent a threat to biodiversity. The global climate changes are new and unique in that they will have been generated by human activity and could result in disruptions in ecosystems. The goals of both conservation biology and agriculture of feeding an increasing world population and preserving species diversity may be seriously challenged when linked to climate change. This study applies all species distribution in relation to climate projection to explore the potential impacts of climate change on species by 2050. A set of species in the KSA, including different threatened species were used as a case study. Changes in habitat suitability in selected candidate protected areas around the KSA under future climatic scenarios were assessed for these species. Using collected readings from main meteorological stations, changes in climate of Saudi Arabia were recorded and incorporated to endemic and rare or endangered plants. Outputs of these data had an average climate change trend around KSA from 2000 to 2011, with high inter annual variability. The pattern is expected given that it is relatively shallow (average depth  $\approx 90$  m). Also, average increases between 1985 and 2050 are  $0.77^{\circ}\text{C}$  and  $1.27^{\circ}\text{C}$ . Atmospheric changes in precipitation patterns, temperature, and greenhouse gases had extreme effects on species distribution and ecosystems characteristics. Vegetation profoundly affected, with local, regional, and global changes. Species distribution is likely to be altered as a consequence of global climate change. The ensemble projections indicated that northward shifts in species at an average rate of 27 km per decade, resulting in small average changes in range overlap between threatened exploited species. Furthermore, the adverse consequences of climate change on the habitat suitability of protected areas were projected to be small. Although the models show large variation in the predicted consequences of climate change, the multi-model approach helps identify the potential risk of increased exposure to human stressors of critically endangered species.

**Key Words:** climate change, Saudi Arabia, vegetation, meteorological stations.

## INTRODUCTION

Climate is average condition of the atmosphere near the earth's surface over a long period of time, taking into account temperature, precipitation, rain, humidity, wind, barometric pressure, and other phenomena[1]. About 2.5 million years ago, continents moved into roughly their present positions. Antarctica was, by then, completely covered with an ice sheet, and year-round sea ice developed in the Arctic Ocean. Although permanent polar ice has been present since then, the polar ice caps and other ice masses have expanded and contracted on a 40,000-year cycle. About 750,000 years ago, this cycle was joined by a much longer (100,000-year) and more intense cycle of ice ages and relatively ice-free periods (interglacials). For example, an ice age occurred about 150,000 years ago and an interglacial from about 140,000 to 120,000 years ago; the last major glacial ice age peaked about 20,000 years ago, and the current 10,000-year-long interglacial period (Holocene)[1]. Scientists can reconstruct these events because when snow falls on high, cold glaciers two notable aspects are preserved: the air trapped between snow grains is eventually transformed into air bubbles as the snow is compressed into ice from the weight of subsequent accumulations, and the ratio of two oxygen molecules with different molecular weights ( $O^{16}$  and  $O^{18}$  isotopes) is a proxy record for the temperature conditions that existed when the snow was deposited. Cores taken from holes drilled into some 2,000 meters of ice on Greenland and Antarctica show similar gross patterns, but the Greenland core exhibits larger, shorter-term fluctuations. The ice buildup from 90,000 years ago to 20,000 years ago was quite variable and was followed by a (geologically speaking) fairly rapid 10,000-year transition, known as a termination, to the climatically very stable Holocene period. The Holocene is the 10,000-year interglacial period in which human civilization developed and modern plant and animal distributions evolved to their current states[1].

The Earth's climate is vastly different now from what it was 100 million years ago when dinosaurs roamed the planet and tropical plants thrived closer to the poles. It is different from what it was 20,000 years ago when ice sheets covered much of the Northern Hemisphere. Although the Earth's climate will surely continue to change, climatic changes in the distant past were driven by natural causes, such as variations in the Earth's orbit or the carbon dioxide ( $CO_2$ ) content of the atmosphere. Future climatic changes, however, will probably have another source as well--human activities [1]. Humans cannot directly rival the power of natural forces driving the climate--for example, the immense energy input to the Earth from the sun that powers the climate. We can, however, indirectly alter the natural flows of energy enough to create significant climatic changes. The best-known way people could inadvertently modify climate is by enhancing the natural capacity of the atmosphere to trap radiant heat near the Earth's surface--the so-called greenhouse effect. This natural phenomenon allows solar energy to reach the Earth's surface and warm the climate. Gases such as water vapor and  $CO_2$ , however, trap a much larger fraction of long wavelength radiant energy called terrestrial infrared radiation near the Earth's surface. This causes the natural greenhouse effect to be responsible for some 33°C (60°F) of surface climate change. Thus, seemingly small human-induced changes to the natural greenhouse effect are typically projected to result in a global climate change of 1°C to 5°C in the next century [1]. This could result in an ecologically significant change, which is why climatic considerations are fundamental in the discussion of the status and trends of ecological conditions.

The last 100 years have seen significant changes in the global climate that are very likely to be attributed to anthropogenic greenhouse gas emissions [1]. Mean global surface temperature has increased by approximately 0.1°C per decade since the late 1950s and is projected to be 1.4–2.1°C above pre-industrial levels by 2050 [1], with temperatures increasing in the Arctic at almost twice the global rate in the last century. Furthermore, the ocean is becoming more acidic and less oxygenated [1], [2]. Climate change has been observed to be having a profound effect on both marine and terrestrial biodiversity [3]–[5], and this trend is expected to continue, with associated

changes in species compositions [6], distributions [4] and phenological patterns [7]. Concern over the impact of climate change in the marine environment is also increasing, with longer-term shifts in mean environmental conditions and climatic variability moving outside the bounds within which adaptations in marine communities have previously been associated [8]. The changes in abundances and distributions that result from these ocean-atmospheric changes may severely impact the biological and environmental functioning of ecosystems or food webs [9], the goods and services derived from them and conservation and resource management [10], [11].

The effects of climate change on threatened or endemic species (those unique to a defined geographic area) are of particular concern. These species are frequently restricted to relatively small areas and population sizes and may have highly specific habitat requirements, likely reducing their adaptive capacity to climatic change [12]. In addition, lack of knowledge or data concerning the abundance, dispersal and life history characteristics of threatened species is common. Recent years have thus seen an increase in studies attempting to assess how climate change might impact threatened and endemic species in terrestrial environments [13]–[15] and how conservation goals and actions should adapt in a changing climate [16]–[18]. There are far fewer studies, however, that attempt to assess the impacts of environmental and climate change on threatened marine vertebrate species. This is likely due to the issue of scarce and unreliable data available for the marine environment [19]. Furthermore, there has been little attempt to assess the interactions between climate change and other anthropogenic stressors, such as planting, on threatened marine species.

Climate and ocean changes may also affect threatened species by influencing the efficacy of measures designed to protect them. Specifically, marine protected areas are a major tool to conserve marine biodiversity [20] and have been shown to enhance population resilience to climate-driven disturbance [21]. However, their effectiveness may itself be influenced by climate change. For example, future climate change has been predicted to reduce the amount of suitable habitat for particular species that falls within current protected areas [18], [22], thereby reducing its future conservation value. There is a need to increase the robustness and enhance resilience of protected areas to climate change [23], [24]. By assessing the degree of future environmental change within proposed protected areas, conservation planning may thus be used to protect against biodiversity loss [25], [26].

Species Distribution Modeling has been widely used to predict the potential impacts of climate change on both terrestrial [27]–[29] and marine species [30]–[32]. The bioclimatic envelope is defined here as a set of physical and biological conditions suitable for a given species [33] and is frequently obtained by using statistically or theoretically derived methods to associate current climatic variables with species occurrences. By predicting a species' current range as the manifestation of habitat characteristics that limit or support its existence at a particular location, a shift in that range may be elucidated by assessing shifts of the bioclimatic envelope under climate change scenarios. Species Distribution Models (SDMs) are able to predict species' distributions with presence only data and also perform well under small sample sizes (see [34]–[36] for an overview of methods). Applications of SDMs have been criticized [37] and it is acknowledged that some SDMs over-simplify the mechanisms determining species' distributions. However, recently developed modeling approaches have increasingly addressed these criticisms [38], [39]. SDMs also remain useful in exploring the possible magnitude and direction of species' distribution shift under climatic change. Furthermore, key uncertainties in using SDMs to assess climate change impacts on marine biota, which stem from the differences in the structure of the SDMs and the underlying climate forcing, can be explored by comparing outputs from multiple SDMs and climate models. Using multiple SDMs with a range of complexity, data requirement and statistical mechanisms is therefore a more robust way to assess species' distributions [40].

Climate scenarios developed from multiple models are also considered to be more robust than using a single model as climate models vary in complexity and reliability, with uncertainty being introduced by data input as well as interpolation method. [41] There is therefore a need to compare future species' distribution predictions made using alternative SDM algorithms, Global

Climate Models (GCMs) and species' occurrence/environmental tolerance data. The uncertainties in outputs resulting from these variations help us understand the range of potential predictions, the extent of agreement between them as well as possible extremes. [42]

We may already be feeling the climatic effects of having polluted the atmosphere with gases such as CO<sub>2</sub>. Many activities associated with human economic development have changed our physical and chemical environment in ways that modify natural resources.[19] When these changes--such as burning fossil fuels that release CO<sub>2</sub> or using land for agriculture or urbanization that cause deforestation--become large enough, significant global (worldwide) changes are expected. Such modifications can disturb the natural flows of energy in Earth systems and thus can force climatic changes. [43], [44] These disturbances are also known as global change forcing. Quantitative evaluations of the potential effect of human activities in creating global change are needed. Such evaluations are also central to potential policy responses to mitigate global changes.

How can human societies prepare for so uncertain a climatic future? The ability to predict that future with a known degree of confidence would help. [45] The processes that make up a planet's climate, however, are too large and complex to be reproduced physically in a laboratory. Fortunately, they can be simulated mathematically with the help of computers. [46] In other words, one can devise mathematical expressions for the observed behavior and the physical principles that govern the system--laws of thermodynamics and Newton's laws of motion. The computer can then calculate how the climate would change. For reasons detailed in this chapter, mathematical climate models cannot simulate the full complexity of reality, but they can, in combination with field and laboratory studies, reveal important aspects of how the climatic system operates. [47]- [55] The critical scientific tasks are to formulate, build, and verify the models to produce ecologically useful forecasts. Verifying these forecasts requires knowledge of how the actual climate has changed.

Long-term changes in the Earth's climate and temperature patterns are one of the environmental threats that face our planet today. Scientists estimate that as a result of global climate change, the Earth's average temperature could increase as much as six and one-half degrees Fahrenheit by the year 2100. [56]- [61] While this may not sound like much of an increase, if the temperature increase approaches the six and one-half degree mark, the Earth will be a much different place than we know it today. To gain an appreciation of how different the Earth could be, consider that during the last ice age, when our planet was on average only nine degrees Fahrenheit cooler, the area that is now New York City was under 1,000 feet of ice in other parts of the world it was the same. To prevent this sort of disruption to the many natural and human systems that everyone on our planet depends on, we must all work to control Global Climate Change. [61]-[66]

Although Global Climate change may result in some benefits such as extended growing seasons or more moderate temperatures in some areas, the overall effects are likely to be harmful. [67] Sea-level rise, as a result of climate change, could lead to the loss of many coastal wetlands, and entire island nations could disappear. Changes in the quality and availability of water resources could occur and worsen conflicts over water use. Healthy forests could be greatly reduced as the range of tree species shifts. Additionally, humans could suffer from increases in the spread of infectious diseases, heat-related deaths, and air pollution. [68]

Global Climate Change could potentially cause sea levels to rise as oceans warm and expand and as a result of ice cap and snow cover melting. The Intergovernmental Panel on Global Climate change projects a sea level increase of six inches to more than three feet by the year 2100. Because half the U.S. population lives within 50 miles of the coastline, this would be disastrous. Large areas of Florida and Louisiana could be submerged, while many beaches along the East Coast would disappear. Even worse, many small-island nations with no high ground to retreat to could disappear altogether. [69],[70]

The difficulty adjusting of Global Climate Change will occur in the rapid rate to many ecosystems if the world does not reduce greenhouse gas emissions. [71] Animals and plants that are excellent competitors under stable environmental conditions often cannot survive when their habitat is altered by rapid change. Instead, parasite species such as weeds, rodents, Insects, bacteria and

viruses will quickly reproduce and colonize disturbed environments. The recent population explosion of termites, cockroaches and mosquitoes in New Orleans and rodents in southern Asia are examples of this type of problem. [72]

Asia is highly susceptible to Global Climate change with the areas of exacting concern being water resources, biology, health, ecosystems and biodiversity, forestry, and coastal zones. [73] The longer-term will include: changing rainfall patterns affecting biology and reducing food security, worsening water security and economic growth prospects; shifting temperature affecting vector diseases; and more challenging hurdles in reaching the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs). According to the recent IPCC report, the cost of adaptation in Asia could be as high as 5 to 10% of the continent's GDP.

Vegetation security is at risk. Over 95% of Asia's vegetation is rain-fed. Vegetation production, including access to food, in many Asian countries and sub-regions is projected to be severely compromised by climate variability and change. The area suitable for vegetation, the length of growing seasons and yield potential, particularly along the margins of semi-arid and arid areas, are expected to decrease. This would further adversely affect food security and exacerbate malnutrition in the continent in some countries; yields from rain-fed vegetation could be reduced by up to 50% by 2020. [4],[73]

Half of Asia will face water- stress. Three-quarters of Asian countries are in zones where small 4eductions in rainfall could cause large declines in river water. Climate models show that 600,000 square kilometers classified as moderately water constrained will experience severe water limitations. By 2020, between 75 and 250 million people are projected to be exposed to an increase of water stress due to global climate change.' the problem of water scarcity is even more acute in West Asia in view of the very high population growth rates and already high rates of water resource use. [5],[74]

Risk to coastal areas could force major population movements. Sea level rise resulting from Global Climate change threatens coasts, lagoons and mangrove forests of both eastern and western Asia. More than a quarter of Asia's populations live within 100 kilometer of the coast, and projections suggest that the number of people at risk from coastal flooding will increase from 1 million in 1990 to 70 million in 2080. Local food supplies are projected to be negatively affected by decreasing fisheries resources in large lakes due to rising water temperatures, which may be exacerbated by continued over-planting. [75], [76]

Global Climate Change impacts and their interaction with other vulnerabilities and environmental exposures will likely lead to significant population migrations internally as well as across borders with severe humanitarian impacts further undermining peace and stability. The direct and indirect effects of Global Climate change could further undermine peace and stability in the continent. Scarce water resources are another contributor to an increased risk of conflict. [71]- [78]

Impacts of Global Climate change are becoming better known, more efforts are needed to assess and estimate their socio-economic implications; costing of the impacts will help highlight the development nature of climate change, as contrasted to a more narrow environmental issue, and thus attract attention of economists and development planners. Also, given that most adaptation efforts will take place at the local and sub-national levels, additional efforts are needed to better inform domestic audiences (e.g. mayors and local communities) on the impacts of climate change. More efforts will be needed to cost the impacts of Global Climate change and to inform and sensitize domestic audiences. [71]- [78]

Shaping the possible causes of Global Climate Change has been a long-term process that has implicated the work of thousands of scientists around the world. An important step in this process was made in 1995 when over 2,500 scientists from around the world agreed for the first time that emissions of greenhouse gases from human activities have influenced the global climate. As a result, the question is no longer whether humans are altering the world's climate, but where, when, and by how much. [71]- [78] The importance of this study is that we now know that in order to prevent the onset of catastrophic changes to the Earth's climate, humans must reduce their

emissions of greenhouse gases.

This study aims to assess the potential impact of climate change on a set of threatened species (under the International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN) Red List of Threatened species) predominantly inhabiting the KSA.

## **Saudi study area**

Saudi Arabia is located in the Middle East and borders the Persian Gulf, the Red Sea, Yemen, the United Arab Emirates, Iraq, Qatar, Oman, Jordan, and Kuwait (Fig. 1). Riyadh is its capital city, with a population of 4,193,000. Saudi Arabia is a monarchy led by King Abdullah, who has been head of state since 2005, and an appointed 150-member consultative council. Saudi Arabia is the historical home of Islam, its official religion, and Arabic is its official language.

Like most of its Gulf State neighbors, Saudi Arabia is a founding member of the Organization of Petroleum Exporting Countries (OPEC) and is the world's largest producer and exporter of total petroleum liquids. It was the world's largest producer of crude oil in 2008, producing 3.9 million barrels per day. As of 2009, Saudi Arabia held the world's greatest proven oil reserves--264.2 billion barrel--and the fourth greatest proven natural gas reserves-- 7,306 cubic kilometers. With approximately one fifth of the global proven oil reserves and minimal production costs, Saudi Arabia is expected to remain the world's largest oil exporter over the short and long term. As a result of its role in energy production, Saudi Arabia, with 0.4 percent of the world's population, accounts for 1.1 percent of global greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, the highest level of GHGs on average among the oil-producing Gulf States. Since World War II, Saudi Arabia has been closely aligned with the United States as a major trading partner. It is the second-largest exporter of oil to the United States after Canada.

On January 31, 2005, Saudi Arabia ratified the Kyoto Protocol and was classified as a developing, non-Annex I nation, meaning that it was not required by the protocol to reduce its GHG emissions. Because of its relatively high GHG emissions, however, Saudi Arabia expressed interest in the protocol's clean development mechanism (CDM), which allows industrialized, Annex I countries to satisfy their Kyoto commitments in part by funding environmentally friendly development projects in non-Annex I countries. In 2006, Saudi Oil Minister Ali al-Nuaimi hosted an international CDM conference in Riyadh, where attendees reviewed investment opportunities in Saudi Arabia and other oil-rich Gulf States for new CDM projects, including some that employed high-technology carbon capture-and-storage technology.

In such technology, carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) is removed from petroleum processing plants and sequestered in mature oil fields. CDM programs have assumed greater significance for the Gulf States that fear their oil exports might be restricted should the United Nations raise environmental standards in 2012, when the Kyoto Protocol is to be replaced. Industrial diversification is an alternative to CDM programs.

The World Trade Organization (WTO) has encouraged Saudi Arabia to lessen its economic dependence on its oil and petroleum industries by diversifying its industrial sector so as to include more environmentally friendly endeavors. Paradoxically, since 2000, the wealth garnered from the GHG emitting energy industry has driven such diversification, enabling Saudi Arabia and other Gulf States to achieve significant economic development both with their own domestic capital and through some 750 American business ventures. This activity has resulted in a diverse range of new business opportunities for the Saudi government and employment opportunities for the country's workforce. As part of an effort to attract foreign investment and diversify the economy, Saudi Arabia has substantially increased spending on job training and education, infrastructure development, and salaries for government employees. Saudi Arabia acceded to the wishes of the WTO in 2005 and announced plans to establish six "economic cities" in different regions of the country to promote development and diversification.

Saudi Arabia's non-CO<sub>2</sub> emissions grew by 50 percent from 1990-2000, the highest percentage among the top-twenty-five GHG emitters. Population growth in Saudi Arabia was 46

percent from 1990-2002, the highest percentage of the top twenty- five GHG emitters, three times greater than that of China, and almost twice that of Iran. Energy intensity (energy consumption per unit of GDP) increased in Saudi Arabia by 52 percent from 1990- 2002, the highest percentage among the top twenty- five GHG emitters.

As regards per capita ranking, while the OPEC Gulf States have high per capita GHG emissions, data show that of the top-twenty GHG emitters, generally, the highest emitters per capita were the Annex I countries (Australia, the United States, and Canada, ranked fifth, seventh, and eighth, respectively, with per capita GHG emissions of 25.6 metric tons, 24.3 metric tons, and 22.2 metric tons, respectively). Annex I countries' per capita emissions are approximately double those of the highest- ranked developing country in the top twenty (South Korea, at 11.0 metric tons per capita), and they are six times that of China (3.9 metric tons per capita) [18]. Saudi Arabia was ranked fifteenth in per capita GHG emissions, producing 16.5 metric tons per person.

The population density of Saudi Arabia in 2007 was 11.3 persons per square kilometer, in a country a little more than one-fifth the size of the United States. Economic growth in the top GHG emitters is sometimes measured in terms of GDP per capita, and this measurement has been shown to bear a significant relationship to a country's GHG emissions. Usually, significant GDP growth per capita results from energy-intensive activities, which significantly increase GHG emissions. In 2008, the Saudi GDP was ranked twenty-third in the world by the International Monetary Fund (IMF); the Saudi petroleum sector accounted for roughly 45 percent of the nation's GDP, 80 percent of its budget revenues, and 90 percent of its export earnings. Some 40 percent of the GDP came from the private sector.

High oil prices through mid-2008 enhanced economic growth, government revenues, and Saudi ownership of foreign assets, enabling Saudi Arabia to pay down its domestic debt. In March, 2009, Saudi Arabia, along with other OPEC member nations, cut production of oil to support falling oil prices on the world market, and crude oil futures rose to \$51.55 per barrel in New York. OPEC lowered Saudi Arabia's production quota for oil, although it was reported that the Saudi government privately promised to satisfy the energy needs of their export partners. However, liquid fuel demands in the United States are expected to increase by only one million barrels per day from 2007 to 2030, as domestic biofuels and other renewable energy sources, along with increasing domestic oil production, reduce U.S. dependence on the foreign oil market. As a result, the United States is projected to import less than 40 percent of liquid fuels it consumes in 2025. This decrease will likely decrease Saudi oil revenues, either directly by lowering volume of sales or indirectly by lowering prices.

At the April, 2009, Group of 20 (G-20) summit in London, French President Nicholas Sarkozy declared that global leaders were moving to create a new world order, less centered on U.S. and Anglo- Saxon models, with increased regulation of the global financial sector and a greater role for international institutions and emerging markets. In addition, the G-20 pledged to triple the resources of the IMF, enabling developing countries to more fully participate in the global economy; the United States and the European Community need the monetary and energy resources of rising powers such as Brazil, China, and Saudi Arabia to help restore the global economy and tackle the ongoing challenge of climate change.

While the United States remains the preeminent military and economic power in the world, its ability to manage its financial markets and banking system will be significant factors in overcoming the global economic and climate crises. Also important is how emerging nations such as Saudi Arabia, the only Arab country and OPEC member invited to the G-20 summit, present themselves to the world. Saudi Arabia's handling of its currency reserves, trade policies, and energy exports will influence global climate policy and affect the efficacy of that policy. Saudi energy policy and development will also affect the rights of indigenous populations, farmers, youth, and women, who, according to the U.N. Stockholm Declaration of 1972, enjoy a human right to a healthy environment.

When the U.N. Conference of the Parties to the Framework Convention on Climate Change (COP) meets in Copenhagen, Denmark, in December of 2009 to address climate change and to plan the treaty that will replace the Kyoto Protocol in 2012, Saudi Arabia will provide input as both a developing, non-Annex I country and a wealthy, OPEC member oil exporter and a significant emitter of GHGs. At a pre-COP meeting in March, 2009, U.S. president Obama called for an increase in the amount of corn-based ethanol for use in U.S. gasoline. An advisor to the Saudi oil minister, Mohammad Al-Sabban, indicated that while his country does not support subsidies, it would cooperate a willingness to consider alternative energy sources.

Al-Sabban also declared that Saudi Arabia would invest heavily in renewable, especially solar, energy and build a carbon-neutral city, stating that Saudi Arabia has abundant sunshine and land and is able to export solar power to its neighbors on a large scale. Saudi Arabia's aim is to diversify its economy and become environmentally friendly at the same time, but it needs the assistance of the industrialized countries, in the form of direct investment and transfer of technologies, to ease the burden of the new climate regimen likely to be set forth by the successor treaty to the Kyoto Protocol [18]. Thus, the Saudi government, which controls the petroleum and crude oil sector, is encouraging increased growth of the private sector--especially in power generation, telecommunications, alternative energy, natural gas exploration, and production of petrochemicals.

King Abdullah also appointed a moderate, Prince Faisal bin Abdullah bin Muhammad, to be minister of education. These recent events reflect some of the aspirations of the Green Party of Saudi Arabia (GPSA), a political organization established in 2001 in a semi-clandestine fashion, because political parties are officially banned in Saudi Arabia. Both Saudi citizens and expatriates are known members of GPSA, which fosters the values of the worldwide green movement and its aims to protect the environment by supporting biodiversity, sustainability, and personal and global responsibility, while opposing the use of nuclear energy as harmful to the environment.

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Saudi Arabia is divided to 6 regions as presented in (Fig. 2.) The regions are chosen in order to produce localized climate details. In addition, thirty seven separate locations (Fig. 3) were chosen to present the spread effects of climate change and to determine the more sensitive areas to changes in climate. There are 28 stations that have continuous records of hourly and daily observations. The need arise to adopt other locations to have a better representation of the area. Reanalysis data is used as a historical data for those stations as well as each of the six regions. These data is used as historical data for available stations.

Using collected readings from 37 main meteorological stations to 6 main regions of KSA, changes in climate were recorded. Using excel program, data (days, months) changed to years data. Using the program, data changed to diagrams for twelve years (2000-2011) to each locality. Recording all degrees of endangered, extinct, endemic and rare plants in response of climate change of KSA. Determination the red list of plants exposed to extinction and rate of distribution.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

### **Climate of Saudi Arabia**

Saudi Arabia has a tropical climate with variable rainy and dry seasons, depending on the location. In the southeast of Saudi Arabia it is hot and wet most of the year, but it is dry in the southwest and farther inland. In the north and west, a savannah climate with marked wet and dry seasons prevails, while a steppe climate with little precipitation is found in the far north. Generally speaking the length of the rainy season decreases from south to north. In the south (lie rainy season lasts from March to November, compared to the far north, where it lasts from mid-May to



September. In the south and the southeast especially, precipitation is heavier with over 3,000 mm of rain a year (compared with about 1,800 mm in the southwest). Rainfall decreases progressively away from the coast and the far north receives no more than 500mm a year.

Desert Climate is generally dry and hot, with low humidity. Temperatures can drop quite low at night because heat that arrives during the day, with no clouds to hold it in, escapes into the atmosphere at night. In some deserts in Saudi Arabia temperature can drop below freezing as late as April. In the hottest deserts in the winter, temperatures can rise to the 90o F (30oC) in the day and drop to the 30oF (single digits C) at night.

The summer is so hot that shoes fall apart because the glue melts, thermometers don't have high enough readings to record the high temperatures, and the hot sands can reach 180°F. The air is so dry that pages fall out of books because the bindings fail. At night the temperature drops only to 85° or 90°F (30°C) and people sleep on their roof to escape the heat.

In the middle of the country (Figs. 4&5), summer temperatures are very hot, approaching 50°C occasionally. The average high temperature in July is 45.5°C. It is said to be the hottest capital city in the world. Winters are mild with cold, windy nights. The overall climate is arid, receiving very little rainfall, but the city receives a fair amount of rain in March and April. It is also known to have many dust storms. The dust is often so thick that visibility is less than 10 meters.

In the north of the country (Figs. 6-10), desirous continental weather with mild summers and cold winters. Temperature in the summers are between 26-46°C while in winter they're between 4-18°C, with wide spread frosts. Freezes are common with temperatures reaching low -6°C in some winters. Rainfall falls in the winter months from November to March and precipitation ranges between 50 – 150 mm with some not uncommon snow every 3–4 years.

Data recorded of Saudi Arabia have shown that Asia like others has been climate change throughout the 20th century at a rate of about 0.05°C per decade, amounting to an increase of approximately 0.5°C. The climate change has been more significant in the period June-November each year. The most significant change to Asia's climate has been a long-term reduction in rainfall in the semi-arid regions of West Asia. In the Saudi Arabian Sahel region, there has been a 25% decrease in precipitation on average in the last 30 years [ 6]. However, the reduction in precipitation has been more moderate in other parts of Asia.

In the past 10 years, both droughts and floods have increased in frequency and severity on the continent. The regularity of drought periods has been a notable aspect of Saudi Arabian climate in recent years, especially in the drier regions. Well shown droughts in the 1970s and 1980s significantly affected West Asia in the 20 century and they severely affected large areas of northern Saudi Arabia and the Sahel region [7]. These drought periods are indication of the large variability in climate tropical Asia, the most serious effects of which are usually felt at the drier margins of biological zones or in the regions occupied primarily by pastoral groups [8].

In recent years, Asia has seen more frequent flood and cyclone episodes. The Saudi Arabian delta has in particular seen a marked increase in flooding in the last few decades [9]. Dust storms (which are partly due to changes in land use such as grazing and deforestation) in the some parts of the Sahel have also increased, particularly between the 1950s and 1980s The Intergovernmental Panel on Global Climate change (IPCC) Fourth Assessment Report explains that during 1961 to 2003, the average sea level rose by 1.8 + 0.5 mm per year. While sea level rise varies between regions, Saudi Arabia's entire coastline has been affected by this observed rise [3]. Such a rise will have already led to an increase in coastal erosion and exacerbated flooding damages,

A global rise in Red Sea level or Arabian Gulf is expected to significantly affect Saudi Arabia's coastline. The current IPGW predictions are a rise in sea level of between 18 and 59 cm by 2100 relative to 1980-1999, depending on the scenario [3]. As such, this study assumes there to be an increase of potentially 40cm by 2050 for the best estimate. The general consensus in the scientific community is that extreme events will continue to increase and become more severe across the continent. However, the IPGW has stated that there is insufficient information on which to assess possible changes in the spatial distribution and frequency of tropical cyclones affecting

Asia. However, it is thought that a further 1°C rise in surface sea temperature in the Atlantic will create the conditions required to create hurricanes off the coast of Saudi Arabia.

A general increase in high-rainfall events is expected, coupled with the expected increase in atmospheric water vapor. The probability of extremely warm seasons is 100% for West Asia, with a 22% probability of extremely wet seasons [4]. In terms of more recent study predictions highlighting positive feedback climate change and stronger climate change, signals from observations have not been focused on Saudi Arabia in particular. Thus a direct translation and downs calling of the recent findings oil temperatures and sea level rise to Saudi Arabia in terms of changes to precipitation, the frequency of extreme events etc on a local level, is not possible.

For validation of the same domain covering the area of Saudi Arabia, a period of 30 years, 1961 to 1990, was downscaled in two separate experiments. Data was obtained from the Hadley Center global atmospheric model for the 1st experiment, and for the second experiment the reanalysis observation data was obtained from the European Center for Medium Range Weather Forecast. Also, weighted domain values were compared for means and standard deviation and visual differences.

A comparison between simulated and the observed mean and standard deviation values of average daily temperature, precipitation, evaporation, wind speeds, and runoff of Saudi Arabia are indicated that it has a cold bias of approximately 2 °C, but visual comparison indicates a wide deviation north and outside the concerned area. The fact tended to worsen near the edges of the domain was expected and taken care of when the experiment was designed by choosing margins wide enough to get much better values for the region of interest in Saudi Arabia.

The two basic categories of causes of climatic change are external and internal. External causes mean that the climate change arises outside of the system and it is not influenced much by the system, although external processes do not have to be physically external to the Earth (such as the sun). If our focus is on atmospheric change on a 1-week time scale (that is, the weather), the oceans, land surfaces, biota, and human activities that produce CO<sub>2</sub> are all external (that is, they are not influenced much by the atmosphere in such a short time). If our focus is on 100,000-year ice age interglacial cycles, however, the oceans, ice sheets, and biota are part of the internal climatic system and vary as an integral part of the Earth's environmental systems. On this longer scale we must also include as part of our internal system the "solid" Earth, which really is not solid but viscous and elastic. Therefore, stating which components are external or internal to the climatic system depends on the time period and spatial scale being examined, as well as on the phenomena being considered.

Fluctuations in heat radiated by the sun--perhaps related to varying sun spots--are external to the climate system. Influences of the gravitational tugs of other planets on the Earth's orbit are also external. Many researchers think that such tugs gave rise to the 40,000-year ice cycle in the past 2.5 million years and possibly contributed to the 100,000-year ice age and interglacial cycles as well. Human-caused changes in the Earth's climate could not perceptibly alter either one of these cycles.

Changes in volcanic dust or CO<sub>2</sub> in the atmosphere also influence climate; volcanic dust can cool the climate by scattering some sunlight back to space, and CO<sub>2</sub> can warm the climate through the greenhouse effect. On short time scales, these factors are largely external because the state of the climatic system presumably does not have much influence on them. This may not be true, though, in the long term. For example, the tendency for volcanoes to erupt might change when the Earth's crust is distorted by the weight of ice sheets. Likewise, if the climate changes in such a way that an area previously covered with plants becomes drier, dust can be raised more easily. Thus, on the long-term scale, dust generation falls into the internal category.

Carbon dioxide and methane levels rise and fall with ice age cycles (Fig. 1), which are clearly internal on a 10,000-year time scale. But on a 20-year scale these greenhouse gases become largely an external cause of climatic change, because small changes in climate have little feedback effect on, for example, humans burning fossil fuel.

Changes in the character of the land surface, if caused by human activities, are largely external. If vegetation cover changes because of climatic change, however, land surface change then

becomes internal because changes in plant cover can influence the climate by changing albedo (reflectivity to sunlight), evapotranspiration, surface roughness, and relative humidity.

Snow and ice are important factors in climatic change because they have higher albedo (reflectivity) than warmer surfaces and, in the instance of sea ice, can inhibit transfer of heat and moisture between air and wet surfaces. Salinity, which affects changes in sea ice and in the density of seawater (which helps control where ocean waters sink), may also be an internal cause of climatic variation. The sinking and upwelling of ocean waters are biologically significant because the upwelling waters are often nutrient-rich.

Unusual patterns of ocean surface temperature--such as the El Niño--demonstrate the importance of internally caused climatic fluctuations because the atmospheric circulation can change simultaneously with ocean surface temperatures. The atmosphere rubs on the ocean, however, so the ocean responds with a modification in its motions and temperature pattern, which forces the atmosphere to adjust, which changes the winds, which changes the way the atmosphere rubs on the ocean, and so forth. As a result, air and water interact internally in this coupled system like blobs of gelatin of different size and stiffness, connected by elastic bands or springs, all interacting with one another while also being pushed from the outside (by solar, volcanic, or human-caused change).

## **Analysis of Vegetation Status**

Saudi Arabia's plant diversity is under threat from multiple stresses (Table 1). Climate change is one of the several pressures. Although climate changes will have consequences all over Saudi Arabia, not all regions will be affected equally, nor all regions equally vulnerable to those impacts. Saudi Arabia, being located in the arid part of the world is expected to experience faster warming due to climate change than countries located in the tropical or temperate regions. However, significant variation can be anticipated due to the large size of the country, its diverse landscapes and also due to its Red Sea coast on the western side and the Arabian Gulf on the eastern side.

Before anthropogenic global warming, species were subjected mainly to regional pressures, such as overhunting and habitat destruction. With the acceleration of anthropogenic global warming since the industrial revolution, climate change has begun to influence species safety. Nigel Stork, in the article "Re-assessing Extinction Rate" explains, "the key cause of extinction being climate change, and in particular rising temperatures, rather than deforestation alone." Stork believes climate change is the major issue as to why species are becoming endangered. Stork claims rising temperature on a local and global level are making it harder for species to reproduce. As global warming continues, species are no longer able to survive and their kind starts to deteriorate. This is a repeating cycle that is starting to increase at a rapid rate because of climate change therefore landing many species on the endangered species list.

The overall median change in threatened species (expressed as a percentage of the 1985 overlap value), across models and thresholds, is relatively small ( $\pm 4\%$ ) with the distribution of differences for threatened species. However, selected large changes in overlap (exceeding  $\pm 50\%$ ). All species are predicted to decrease in overlap for at least one threatened species and modeling scenario. In contrast, all but two commercial species are, on average, projected to overlap more in predicted range with threatened species by 2050 (Table 1).

Consistencies in patterns of the relative habitat suitability change between models for scientific people suggest that these inter-variations stem from characteristics of each modeling procedure, their mechanisms and algorithms. These differences might, for example, result in the majority of cells in a predicted distribution being given characteristically higher, or lower, values, explaining why predictions made using different climate forcing frequently show greater similarity than those made using the same climate forcing.

As the relative response of species to change in one or other of the environmental variables and the possible interactions between them is highly uncertain, both projected responses should be

considered. Thus, a multi-model or ensemble model approach helps quantify the variability in projections. In addition, the skill of a model in predicting changes in distribution could be assessed using model hindcasts and historical distribution data, rather than relying on the assumption that the models perform equally well in making future as current species distribution predictions. For example, comparison of historical projection of rate of range shift of exploited species in the Bering Sea and North Sea by DBEM showed a significant agreement between model outputs and observed rate of range shift [78]. Such model assessments could be applied to compare model preferences in future studies.

## Climate change and plant distribution of KSA

There are three possible methods in which plants may respond to climate change such as 1) persistence in the modified climate, 2) migrating into better adaptable climate and 3) extinction. Changes can take place in the first category in which three types of persistence are possible, phenotypic plasticity, gradual genetic adaptation of population or ecological changes. However evidences show that during adverse climatic conditions, species are tend to migrate to a more suitable place rather than adapt genetically. Overall, species having a great potential for adaptive responses through genetic diversity, phenotypic plasticity, high abundance or significant dispersal capabilities are least at risk of extinction. Extra arid desert, mountain ranges or shore lines, sometimes, block the easy migration of several species. Climate change will have drastic impact on such species.

Some categories of plants would appear to be more vulnerable. Fragmentation of population is of particular importance for endemics. Due to climatic variations, if they cannot persist or adapt, species showing a fragmented distribution may see their range become even more fragmented, with local disappearances. Locally or regionally, climatic change may even weaken dominant species such as *Acacia* spp., *Lycium shawii*, etc through defoliation.

The effects of temperature on plants are often difficult to assess because they are closely dependent on the available water supply. There are various adaptations to overcome very high temperature, such as vertically arranged narrow leaves or drooping leaves (e.g. *Ficus salicifolia*), or thick white dense hairs (e.g. *Teucrium polium*). According to scientists, an increase in nitrogen deposition and atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration will favour groups of species that share certain physiological or life history traits that are common among invasive species (*Argemone mexicana*, *Tridax procumbens*, *Nicotiana glaucum*, *Opuntia* spp.) that allow them to dominate in a locality. As far as the distribution of plants is concerned, there are ups and downs in the response towards climate change. Studies show that dispersal would not be a significant problem for most species in the changing climate, provided the platform of suitable habitats was not altered. Unfortunately this privilege cannot be taken as granted as far as the situation in Saudi Arabia is concerned. Most of the habitats in Saudi Arabia and elsewhere in the Arabian Peninsula are highly fragmented due to human activities and therefore the opportunities for migration and establishments will be limited and restricted to less than 50% of the floristic elements present in Saudi Arabia.

Climate change and atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> increase may affect the relation between plants and pollinators. According to scientists, elevated CO<sub>2</sub> may affect flowering (time, number of flowers) and nectar quality (amino acids).

In areas in and around Jabal Tallan, Jabal Warjan, etc, there are signs of significant microclimate change. In these mountains, the lower slopes are covered with immense stands of totally dead *Juniperus* trees along with many dead Dragon trees (*Dracaena ombet*). Now most of the vegetation in these mountains is present only in sheltered gullies and ravines where water is lingering after rain. According to Collenette "in 1977, among the many endemic plants which grow on this mountain (Jabal Dibbagh) there were some twenty-five plants of a *Phlomis* species new to science, about the same number of shrubs of *Daphne linearifolia*, and a number of gravel pans in a limited areas were carpeted with *Tulips*. In 1985, nearly all the large *Junipers* had been removed, the number of *Phlomis* plants had been reduced to five, most of the *Daphne* bushes were dead or dying

and the number of tulip plants had been reduced by over half. People living in the area, especially near Sawawin camp, commented on how seldom the mountain was wreathed in cloud compared to previous years". The woodlands of *Juniperus procerawas* in a healthy condition for many centuries. Unfortunately extensive decline has been reported in the last two decades or so. The decline is characterized by die back at the lower altitudinal ranges of the woodlands. However, the woodlands in high altitude are generally in a healthy condition.

Distributions, population sizes, population density, etc. have been affected directly by the changes in vegetation in most parts of Saudi Arabia. Many communities are expected to be placed at greater risk because of unsuitable habitats and various obstacles in species migration due to change in land uses and thereby the fragmentation of habitats. Due to improper management, these obstacles or pressures will cause some species currently placed as "critically endangered" to become extinct and a majority of those labelled as "endangered or vulnerable" to become rarer and thereby closer to extinction in the next few decades.

A central criticism of species distribution and bioclimatic envelope modeling lies in the assumption that a species is in pseudo-equilibrium with its environment [35]. To ensure that this assumption was upheld here, all available valid occurrence data on each species was included to obtain as near as possible the species' absolute environmental tolerance limits. However, each of the species investigated here are thought to have been recently restricted to areas which do not adequately reflect their historic distribution for reasons other than change in environmental suitability, such as planting and other human disturbances. Predictions made using these data are therefore unlikely to represent the actual current distribution of each species, potentially biasing estimates of a species' environmental tolerance limits and environmental envelopes. However, dated occurrence data recorded between 2000 and 2011 (ICES BTS surveys, including all beam trawl surveys) show that predicted distributions are within the historic distribution. Historic data thus supports the environmental tolerance limits and envelopes obtained using data obtained from a recently recorded distribution, following range contraction. Although range contraction may have consequences for the future dispersal of these species within patterns of suitable habitat, accurate hypotheses and conclusion could not be made due to lack of comprehensive sampling effort across the entire historic range in recent years. Future work could therefore involve a wider sampling across historic ranges and the compilation of a current observed dataset for each of these species.

Evaluating the possible effects of climatic change on species' distributions using bioclimatic envelope models is a useful tool to gain insight on how species might respond under future climatic change. In particular, the ability to make this assessment for threatened species marks an important contribution amid calls for conservation planning to take an adaptive response to enhance the resilience of protected areas and the biodiversity within them to climate change. Although all species investigated in this study are predicted to move northwards by 2050, the effect of climate change on range areas and the suitability of a set of protected areas for this set of threatened species is less detrimental than would be expected based upon studies of similar changes in the terrestrial environment?

For the past few years, attention has focused on the possible impact of climatic changes on vegetation in Saudi Arabia. Disturbances in the environment can be natural or man-made. Natural disturbances, on plant communities are an integral part of the pattern in most parts of the Kingdom's landscapes. The natural growth of plant communities will depend on the capacity of various habitats to cope with the rate of climate change and increased climate variability as well as on their ability to counter low water availability and increased risk from competition, overgrazing and other disturbances such as unsustainable use, fire, etc.

Adverse effects of climate on communities would definitely have serious ramifications for the security and integrity of our natural resources. The overall climatic change in Saudi Arabia or in the Middle East in general will have drastic effect on the impoverished biodiversity of the region. In such situations, most of the vulnerable species will no longer be able to grow in their present habitats and therefore they will either migrate to the nearest suitable places or their population

shrink and later extinct locally. Occasionally species that cannot migrate to new areas change their physiological behavior by further reducing their overall stature of the plant or do not produce any flowers.

Assessing the long-term climatic change in a species population density or its distribution range is sometimes difficult, primarily for two reasons: 1). Both climate and biological factors vary every year and these annual changes often cover long-term trends, making them difficult to find out, 2) Factors such as habitat loss, grazing, competition with other native and exotic species and sometimes even pollution are simultaneously affecting species population size and distribution along with climate change so that it is difficult to determine definitively the effect of any one cause.

However, the present altitudinal range, distribution area, population size, conservation status, ecology, genetic and phenotypic diversity are some of the parameters to take into consideration when assessing the general response of a species or of a particular population to climate change. Drought in consecutive years definitely poses a threat to vulnerable plants. Such plants, if suffer from water stress at the seedling stage will remain in small size, produce only a few flowers, set a few viable seeds and die away, whereas, in the case of sufficient moisture, the stature of such plants will be large and produces enough flowers and seeds for the next season.

Distributions, population sizes, population density, etc. have been affected directly by the changes in vegetation in most parts of Saudi Arabia. Many communities are expected to be placed at greater risk because of unsuitable habitats and various obstacles in species migration due to change in land uses and thereby the fragmentation of habitats. Due to improper management, these obstacles or pressures will cause some species currently placed as "critically endangered" to become extinct and a majority of those labeled as "endangered or vulnerable" to become rarer and thereby closer to extinction in the next few decades.

## **SUMMARY**

No clear physical objection or direct empirical evidence has contradicted the consensus of scientists that the world is not climate change, nor has evidence emerged to contradict the substantial probability that temperatures will rise because of increases in greenhouse gases. The evidence for current global climate change forecasts is circumstantial, but is sufficient enough that many researchers believe that recently observed climatic variations and human activities are probably connected. The consensus remains widespread that a global temperature increase of anywhere from 1°C to 5°C is reasonably probable in the next century. The scientists gave global average temperature changes (from CO<sub>2</sub> doubling) ranging from 1.5°C to 4.5°C three stars, equivalent presumably to a 60% subjective chance. As mentioned previously, though, the ecologically important forecasts of time-evolving regional climatic changes are much less credible and require that ecologists use many alternative scenarios of possible climatic changes.

This study suggests that a change in climate of south KSA will not result in an overall, unidirectional change in the relative habitat suitability of marine protected areas. This is generally because of the large variation in the predicted changes in relative habitat suitability between model combinations.

1. Albouy C, Guilhaumon F, Araújo MB, Mouillot D, Leprieur F (2012) Combining projected changes in species richness and composition reveals climate change impacts on coastal Mediterranean plant assemblages. *Global Change Biology* 18: 2995–3003 doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2012.02772.x.
2. Araújo MB, New M (2007) Ensemble forecasting of species distributions. *Trends in ecology & evolution* 22: 42–47 doi: 10.1016/j.tree.2006.09.010.
3. Araujo MB, Thuiller W, Pearson RG (2006) Climate climate change and the decline of amphibians and reptiles in Europe. *Journal of Biogeography* 33: 1712–1728. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2699.2006.01482.x.
4. Barange M, Cheung WWL, Merino G, Perry RI (2010) Modelling the potential impacts of climate change and human activities on the sustainability of marine resources. *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability* 2: 326–333 doi:10.1016/j.cosust.2010.10.002. . doi: 10.1016/j.cosust.2010.10.002.
5. Beaugrand G (2004) The North Sea regime shift: Evidence, causes, mechanisms and consequences. *Progress In Oceanography* 60: 245–262 doi: 10.1016/j.pocean.2004.02.018.
6. Blanchard JL, Jennings S, Holmes R, Harle J, Merino G, (2012) Potential consequences of climate change for primary production and plant production in large marine ecosystems. *Philosophical transactions of the Royal Society of London Series B, Biological sciences* 367: 2979–2989 doi:10.1098/rstb.2012.0231.
7. Botkin DB, Saxe H, Araújo MB, Betts R, Bradshaw RHW, (2007) Forecasting the Effects of Global Climate change on Biodiversity. *BioScience* 57: 227–236. doi: 10.1641/B570306.

8. BRIG (2007) Report on the Species and Habitat Review; Report by the Biodiversity Reporting and Information Group (BRIG) to the UK Standing Committee. Joint Nature Conservation Committee (JNCC website). <http://jncc.defra.gov.uk/page-5705>. Accessed 2012 Dec 23.
9. Burrows MT, Schoeman DS, Buckley LB, Moore P, Poloczanska ES, (2011) The pace of shifting climate in marine and terrestrial ecosystems. *Science* 334: 652–655 doi:10.1126/science.1210288.
10. Cheung W, Pitcher T, Pauly D (2005) A fuzzy logic expert system to estimate intrinsic extinction vulnerabilities of marine plants to planting. *Biological Conservation* 124: 97–111 doi:10.1016/j.biocon.2005.01.017.
11. Cheung WWL, Dunne J, Sarmiento JL, Pauly D (2011) Integrating ecophysiology and plankton dynamics into projected maximum fisheries catch potential under climate change in the Northeast Atlantic. *ICES Journal of Marine Science* 68: 1008–1018 doi:10.1093/icesjms/fsr012.
12. Cheung WWL, Lam VWY, Pauly D (2008) Modelling present and climate-shifted distributions of marine plants and invertebrates. Fisheries Centre Research Report 16.
13. Cheung WWL, Lam VWY, Sarmiento JL, Kearney K, Watson R, (2010) Large-scale redistribution of maximum fisheries catch potential in the global ocean under climate change. *Global Change Biology* 16: 24–35 doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2009.01995.x.
14. Cheung WWL, Lam VWY, Sarmiento JL, Kearney K, Watson R, (2009) Projecting global marine biodiversity impacts under climate change scenarios. *Plant and Fisheries* 10: 235–251 doi:10.1111/j.1467-2979.2008.00315.x.
15. Cheung WWL, Sarmiento JL, Dunne J, Frölicher TL, Lam VWY, (2012) Shrinking of plants exacerbates impacts of global ocean changes on marine ecosystems. *Nature Climate Change* 2: 1–5 doi:10.1038/nclimate1691. doi: 10.1038/nclimate1691.
16. Cianfrani C, Lay GL, Maiorano L, Satizábal HF, Loy A, (2011) Adapting global conservation strategies to climate change at the European scale: The otter as a flagship species. *Biological Conservation* 144: 2068–2080 doi:10.1016/j.biocon.2011.03.027.
17. Close C, Cheung W, Hodgson S, Lam V, Watson R, (2006) Distribution ranges of commercial plants and invertebrates. Fisheries Centre Research Report 14: 27–37.
18. Coetzee BWT, Robertson MP, Erasmus BFN, van Rensburg BJ, Thuiller W (2009) Ensemble models predict Important Bird Areas in southern Africa will become less effective for conserving endemic birds under climate change. *Global Ecology and Biogeography* 18: 701–710 doi:10.1111/j.1466-8238.2009.00485.x.
19. Conroy MJ, Runge MC, Nichols JD, Stodola KW, Cooper RJ (2011) Conservation in the face of climate change: The roles of alternative models, monitoring, and adaptation in confronting and reducing uncertainty. *Biological Conservation* 144: 1204–1213 doi:10.1016/j.biocon.2010.10.019.
20. Deutsch C, Brix H, Ito T, Frenzel H, Thompson L (2011) Climate-forced variability of ocean hypoxia. *Science (New York, NY)* 333: 336–339 doi:10.1126/science.1202422.
21. Dockerty T, Lovett A, Watkinson A (2003) Climate change and nature reserves: examining the potential impacts, with examples from Great Britain. *Global Environmental Change* 13: 125–135 doi:10.1016/S0959-3780(03)00010-4.
22. Dulvy N, Notobartolo di Sciara G, Serena F, Tinti F, Ungaro N, et al. (2006) *Dipturus batis*. IUCN 2001 IUCN Red List of Threatened Species Version 2011.2. Available: [www.iucnredlist.org](http://www.iucnredlist.org). Accessed 2011 Dec 2.
23. Dulvy NK, Rogers SI, Jennings S, Stelzenmüller V, Dye SR, et al. (2008) Climate change and deepening of the North Sea plant assemblage: a biotic indicator of climate change seas. *Journal of Applied Ecology* 45: 1029–1039 doi:10.1111/j.1365-2664.2008.01488.x.
24. Dulvy NK, Sadovy Y, Reynolds JD (2003) Extinction vulnerability in marine populations. *Plant and Fisheries* 4: 25–64. doi: 10.1046/j.1467-2979.2003.00105.x.




25. Dunne JP, Gnanadesikan A, Sarmiento JL, Slater RD (2010) Technical description of the prototype version (v0) of tracers of phytoplankton with allometric zooplankton (TOPAZ) ocean biogeochemical model as used in the Princeton IFMIP model. *Biogeosciences* 3593.
26. Edwards M, Richardson AJ (2004) Impact of climate change on marine pelagic phenology and trophic mismatch. *Nature* 430: 881–884 doi:10.1038/nature02808.
27. Elith J, Ferrier S, Guisan A, Graham CH, Anderson RP, et al. (2006) Novel methods improve prediction of species' distributions from occurrence data. *Ecography* 29: 129–151. doi: 10.1111/j.2006.0906-7590.04596.x.
28. Elith J, Kearney M, Phillips S (2010) The art of modeling range-shifting species. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* 1: 330–342 doi:10.1111/j.2041-210X.2010.00036.x.
29. Ellis J (2005) *Raja clavata*. IUCN 2001 IUCN Red List of Threatened Species Version 2012. Available: [www.iucnredlist.org](http://www.iucnredlist.org). Accessed 2011 Dec 2.
30. Ellis J, Serena F, Mancusi C, Haka F, Morey G, et al. (2009) *Scyliorhinus stellaris*. IUCN 2001 IUCN Red List of Threatened Species Version 2012. Available: [www.iucnredlist.org](http://www.iucnredlist.org). Accessed 2011 Dec 2.
31. Fielding AH, Bell JF (1997) A review of methods for the assessment of prediction errors in conservation presence/absence models. *Environmental Conservation* 24: 38–49. doi: 10.1017/S0376892997000088.
32. Fitter AH, Fitter RSR (2002) Rapid changes in flowering time in British plants. *Science (New York, NY)* 296: 1689–1691 doi:10.1126/science.1071617.
33. Froese R, Pauly D (2011) *Plantbase*. World Wide Web electronic publication. Available: [www.plantbase.org](http://www.plantbase.org), version (02/2011). Accessed 2012 Feb 2.
34. Fuller T, Morton D, Sarkar S (2008) Incorporating uncertainty about species' potential distributions under climate change into the selection of conservation areas with a case study from the Arctic Coastal Plain of Alaska. *Biological Conservation* 141: 1547–1559 doi:10.1016/j.biocon.2008.03.021.
35. Guisan A, Thuiller W (2005) Predicting species distribution: offering more than simple habitat models. *Ecology Letters* 8: 993–1009 doi:10.1111/j.1461-0248.2005.00792.x.
36. Guisan A, Zimmermann NE (2000) Predictive habitat distribution models in ecology. *Ecological Modelling* 135: 147–186. doi: 10.1016/S0304-3800(00)00354-9.
37. Hagerman S, Dowlatabadi H, Satterfield T, McDaniels T (2010) Expert views on biodiversity conservation in an era of climate change. *Global Environmental Change* 20: 192–207 doi:10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2009.10.005.
38. Harborne AR, Mumby PJ (2011) Novel ecosystems: altering plant assemblages in climate change waters. *Current Biology* 21: R822–R824 doi:10.1016/j.cub.2011.08.043.
39. Henderson P (2007) Discrete and continuous change in the plant community of the Bristol Channel in response to climate change. *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the UK* 87: 589–598 doi:10.1017/S0025315407052447.
40. Hijmans RJ, Graham CH (2006) The ability of climate envelope models to predict the effect of climate change on species distributions. *Global Change Biology* 12: 2272–2281 doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2006.01256.x.
41. Hobday AJ, Okey TA, Poloczanska ES, Kunz TJ, Richardson AJ (2006) Impacts of climate change on Australian marine life: Part B. Technical Report. Report to the Australian Greenhouse Office, Canberra, Australia, September 2006.
42. Hu J, Jiang Z (2011) Climate Change Hastens the Conservation Urgency of an Endangered Ungulate. *PLoS ONE* 6: e22873 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0022873.
43. IPCC (2000) *Emission Scenarios, Summary for Policymakers*. Nakicenovic N, Swart R, editors Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. IPCC website. <http://www.ipcc.ch/ipccreports/sres/emission/index.php?idp=0>. Accessed 2012 Dec 23.
44. IPCC (2007) *Summary for policymakers*. In: Solomon S, Qin D, Manning M, Chen Z, Marquis M, et al., editors. *Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis*. Working Group 1

- Contribution to the Fourth Assessment Report of the IPCC. Cambridge, UK and New York, USA: Cambridge University Press.
45. IUCN (2001) IUCN Red List Categories and Criteria: Version 3.1. Gland, Switzerland and Cambridge.
  46. IUCN (2011) IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2011.2. Available: <http://www.iucnredlist.org>. Accessed 2011 Feb 1.
  47. JNCC (2012) Marine SACs. Available: <http://jncc.defra.gov.uk/page-1445>. Accessed 2011 Nov 2.
  48. Jones MC, Dye SR, Pinnegar JK, Warren R, Cheung WWL (2012) Modelling commercial plant distributions: Prediction and assessment using different approaches. *Ecological Modelling* 225: 133–145. doi:10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2011.11.003.
  49. Kaschner K, Watson R, Trites A, Pauly D (2006) Mapping world-wide distributions of marine mammal species using a relative environmental suitability (RES) model. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 316: 285–310 doi:10.3354/meps316285.
  50. Kearney MR, Wintle BA, Porter WP (2010) Correlative and mechanistic models of species distribution provide congruent forecasts under climate change. *Conservation Letters* 3: 203–213 doi:10.1111/j.1755-263X.2010.00097.x.
  51. Lawton AJH, Daily G, Newton I (1994) Population dynamic principles. *Philosophical Transactions: Biological Sciences* 344: 61–68. doi: 10.1098/rstb.1994.0052.
  52. Lemieux CJ, Beechey TJ, Gray PA (2011) Prospects for Canada's protected areas in an era of rapid climate change. *Land Use Policy* 28: 928–941 doi:10.1016/j.landusepol.2011.03.008.
  53. Liu C, Berry PM, Dawson TP, Pearson RG (2005) Selecting thresholds of occurrence in the prediction of species distributions. *Ecography* 28: 385–393 doi:10.1111/j.0906-7590.2005.03957.x.
  54. MacArthur R, Wilson E (1967) *The Theory of Island Biogeography*. Princeton, USA: Princeton University Press.
  55. McEachran J, Miyake T (1990) Zoogeography and bathymetry of skates (Chondrichthyes, Rajoidei). In: Pratt H, Gruber S, Taniuchi T, editors. *Elasmobranchs as Living Resources: Advances in the Biology, Ecology, Systematics, and the Status of the Fisheries*. Washington D.C.: Technical report National Marine Fisheries Service, no. 90, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. pp. 305–326.
  56. Micheli F, Saenz-Arroyo A, Greenley A, Vazquez L, Espinoza Montes JA, et al. (2012) Evidence that marine reserves enhance resilience to climatic impacts. *PloS one* 7: e40832 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0040832.
  57. MMO (2011) UK Sea Fisheries Statistics 2010. Almond S, Thomas B, editors. MMO website. <http://www.marinemanagement.org.uk/planteries/statistics/annual.htm>. Accessed 2012 Feb.
  58. Nenzén HK, Araújo MB (2011) Choice of threshold alters projections of species range shifts under climate change. *Ecological Modelling* 222: 3346–3354 doi:10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2011.07.011.
  59. OSPAR (2008) OSPAR List of Threatened and/or Declining Species and Habitats (Reference Number:2008-6). OSPAR Commission website. Available: <http://www.ospar.org>. Accessed 2012 Dec 23.
  60. Parmesan C, Yohe G (2003) A globally coherent fingerprint of climate change impacts across natural systems. *Nature* 421: 37–42 doi:10.1038/nature01286.
  61. Pearson RG, Raxworthy CJ, Nakamura M, Townsend Peterson A (2007) Predicting species distributions from small numbers of occurrence records: a test case using cryptic geckos in Madagascar. *Journal of Biogeography* 34: 102–117 doi:10.1111/j.1365-2699.2006.01594.x.
  62. Perry AL, Low PJ, Ellis JR, Reynolds JD (2005) Climate change and distribution shifts in marine plants. *Science* 308: 1912–1915 doi:10.1126/science.1111322.


63. Phillips SJ, Anderson RP, Schapire RE (2006) Maximum entropy modeling of species geographic distributions. *Ecological Modelling* 190: 231–259. doi: 10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2005.03.026.
64. Phillips SJ, Dudík M, Schapire RE (2004) A maximum entropy approach to species distribution modeling. *Proceedings of the twenty-first International Conference on Machine Learning*, ACM Press, New York. doi:10.1145/1015330.1015412.
65. Portner HO, Knust R (2007) Climate change affects marine plants through the oxygen limitation of thermal tolerance. *Science* 315: 95–97. doi: 10.1126/science.1135471.
66. Renkonen O (1938) Statistisch ökologische Untersuchungen über die terrestrische Käferwelt der finnischen Bruchmoore. *Ann Zool Soc Zool Bot Fenn Vanamo* 6: 1–231.
67. Rödder D, Engler JO (2011) Quantitative metrics of overlaps in Grinnellian niches: advances and possible drawbacks. *Global Ecology and Biogeography* 20: 915–927 doi:10.1111/j.1466-8238.2011.00659.x.
68. Root TL, Price JT, Hall KR, Schneider SH, Rosenzweig C, et al. (2003) Fingerprints of global climate change on wild animals and plants. *Nature* 421: 57–60 doi:10.1038/nature01309.1.
69. Rose N-A, Burton PJ (2009) Using bioclimatic envelopes to identify temporal corridors in support of conservation planning in a changing climate. *Forest Ecology and Management* 258: S64–S74 doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2009.07.053.
70. Schoener T (1968) The *Anolis* lizards of Bimini: resource partitioning in a complex fauna. *Ecology* 49: 704–726. doi: 10.2307/1935534.
71. Simpson SD, Jennings S, Johnson MP, Blanchard JL, Schön P-J, et al. (2011) Continental shelf-wide response of a plant assemblage to rapid climate change of the sea. *Current Biology* 21: 1565–1570 doi:10.1016/j.cub.2011.08.016.
72. Sing T, Sander O, Beerenwinkel N, Lengauer T (2005) ROCr: visualizing classifier performance in R. *Bioinformatics* 21: 3940–3941. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/bti623.
73. Stralberg D, Jongsomjit D, Howell CA, Snyder MA, Alexander JD, et al. (2009) Re-shuffling of species with climate disruption: a no-analog future for California birds? *PloS one* 4: e6825 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0006825.
74. Sumaila UR, Cheung WWL, Lam VWY, Pauly D, Herrick S (2011) Climate change impacts on the biophysics and economics of world fisheries. *Nature Climate Change* 1: 1–8 doi:10.1038/nclimate1301.
75. Thomas CD, Cameron A, Green RE, Bakkenes M, Beaumont LJ, et al. (2004) Extinction risk from climate change. *Nature* 427: 145–148 doi:10.1038/nature02121.
76. Thuiller W, Midgley GF, Hughes GO, Bomhard B, Drew G, et al. (2006) Endemic species and ecosystem sensitivity to climate change in Namibia. *Global Change Biology* 12: 759–776. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2006.01140.x.
77. Toropova C, Meliane I, Laffoley D, Matthews E, Spalding M, editors (2010) *Global Ocean Protection: Present Status and Future Possibilities*. Brest, France: Agence des aires marines protégées, Gland, Switzerland, Washington, DC and New York USA: IUCN WCPA, Cambridge, UK: UNEP-WCMC, Arlington, USA: TNC, Tokyo, Japan: UNU, New York, USA: WCS.
78. Townsend Peterson A, Ortega-Huerta MA, Bartley J, Sánchez-Cordero V, Soberón J, (2002) Future projections for Mexican faunas under global climate change scenarios. *Nature* 416: 626–629 doi:10.1038/416626a.



Fig. 1: Location of Saudi Arabia.



**Fig. 2:** shows the regions representing Saudi Arabia.



**Figure 3.** shows the 37 locations studied over Saudi Arabia.

**Table 1: Taxonomical status and presence of vegetaion in Saudi Arabia.**

Status- \*: Endangered Endemic, @: Extinct-Endemic, # : Endangered non-endemic, X : ‘extinct’ non-endemic, + : not endangered endemic, - : not endangered or endemic.

Presence- R : rare, O: occasional, L: local, C: locally common, FW: fairly widespread, W: widespread, WX: widespread/overexploited

Families	Scientific Names	Status	Presence
ACANTHACEAE	<i>Barleria proxima</i>	#	R
	<i>Crossandra wissmannii</i>	-	O
AIZOACEAE	<i>Delosperma harazianum</i>	#	LC
ALLIACEAE	<i>Allium asirensense</i>	*	O
ALOEACEAE	<i>Aloe armatissima</i>	*	L
	<i>Aloe brunneodentata</i>	*	R
	<i>Aloe castellorum</i>	-	FW
	<i>Aloe cephalophora</i>	*	R
	<i>Aloe fleurentinorum</i>	#	LC
	<i>Aloe parvicapsula</i>	*	L

	<i>Aloe parvicoma</i>	*	R
	<i>Aloe porphyrostachys</i>	*	LC
	<i>Aloe pseudorubroviolacea</i>	+	FW
	<i>Aloe rivierei</i>	#	R
	<i>Aloe sabaea</i>	-	FW
	<i>Aloe shadensis</i>	*	O
	<i>Aloe sheilae</i>	*	LC
	<i>Aloe vera</i> var. <i>officinalis</i>	-	W
	<i>Aloe vulcanica</i>	*	R
	<i>Aloe x abhaica</i>	*	R
	<i>Aloe x qaharensis</i>	*	R
	<i>Aloe yemenica</i>	#	LC
AMARANTHACEAE	<i>Nothosaerva brachiata</i>	#	R
AMARYLLIDACEAE	<i>Crinum album</i>	-	W
	<i>Pancratium maximum</i>	-	O
	<i>Pancratium tortuosum</i>	-	FW
	<i>Scadoxus multiflorus</i>	-	O
ANACARDIACEAE	<i>Pistacia</i> cf. <i>khinjuk</i>	*	LC
	<i>Rhus abyssinica</i>	#	R
ANNONACEAE	<i>Annona squamosa</i>	#	O
ARACEAE	<i>Arisaema flavum</i>	#	R
ASCLEPIADACEAE	<i>Angolluma commulata</i> ssp. <i>sheilae</i>	*	FW
	<i>Angolluma deflersiana</i>	#	LC
	<i>Angolluma eremastrum</i>	#	LC
	<i>Caudanthera sinaica</i>	#	O
	<i>Caudanthera sinaica</i> ssp. <i>baradi</i>	#	O
	<i>Ceropegia arabica</i>	#	L
	<i>Ceropegia arabica</i> ssp. <i>abbreviata</i>	*	LC
	<i>Ceropegia aristolochioides</i> ssp. <i>deflersiana</i>	+	W
	<i>Ceropegia botrys</i>	#	O
	<i>Ceropegia bulbosa</i>	#	R
	<i>Ceropegia rupicola</i>	#	R
	<i>Ceropegia somalensis</i>	#	R
	<i>Ceropegia superba</i>	#	L
	<i>Ceropegia tihamana</i>	*	R
	<i>Ceropegia variegata</i> var. <i>adelaidae</i>	#	FW
	<i>Ceropegia variegata</i> var. <i>variegata</i>	#	FW
	<i>Ceropegia vignaldiana</i>	#	R
	<i>Crenulluma petraea</i>	#	FW
	<i>Cylindrilla solenophora</i>	#	R
	<i>Cynanchum acutum</i> ssp. <i>sibiricum</i>	#	LC
	<i>Diplostigma canescens</i>	#	R
	<i>Duvalia sulcata</i>	#	R
	<i>Duvalia velutina</i>	*	FW
	<i>Glossonema</i> sp. aff. <i>boveanum</i>	*	LC
	<i>Gymnema sylvestre</i>	#	LC
	<i>Huernia arabica</i>	X	
	<i>Huernia laevis</i>	#	R
	<i>Huernia saudi-arabica</i>	*	FW


	<i>Huernia</i> sp.aff. <i>lodarensis</i>	*	R
	<i>Huernia</i> sp.nov.aff. <i>boleana</i>	+	LC
	<i>Periploca</i> <i>visciformis</i>	#	W
	<i>Rhytidocaulon</i> <i>macrolobum</i>	#	O
	<i>Rhytidocaulon</i> <i>macrolobum</i> ssp. <i>minimum</i>	@	
	<i>Rhytidocaulon</i> <i>sheilae</i>	*	L
	<i>Sarcostemma</i> <i>arabica</i>	+	FW
	<i>Sarcostemma</i> <i>forskaolianum</i>	+	W
	<i>Sarcostemma</i> <i>vanlessenii</i>	#	R
	<i>Sarcostemma</i> <i>viminale</i> ssp. <i>stipitaceum</i>	+	FW
	<i>Sulcolluma</i> <i>shadhbana</i>	+	O
	<i>Sulcolluma</i> <i>shadhbana</i> var. <i>barhana</i>	+	O
BURSERACEAE	<i>Commiphora</i> <i>erythraea</i>	#	LC
CAPPARACEAE	<i>Boscia</i> <i>angustifolia</i>	#	O
	<i>Cleome</i> <i>hanburyana</i>	#	O
	<i>Capparis</i> <i>spinosa</i> (blue-leaved)	–	LC
	<i>Capparis</i> <i>tomentosa</i>	#	
	<i>Maerua</i> <i>triphylla</i>	#	L
CARYOPHYLLACEAE	<i>Dianthus</i> <i>deserti</i>	*	FW
	<i>Dianthus</i> <i>judaicus</i>	#	O
	<i>Gypsophila</i> <i>umbricola</i>	*	O
	<i>Petrorhagia</i> <i>cretica</i>	#	L
	<i>Silene</i> <i>asirensis</i>	*	LC
	<i>Silene</i> <i>corylina</i>	*	LC
	<i>Sphaerocoma</i> <i>aucheri</i>	#	LC
CELASTRACEAE	<i>Maytenus</i> <i>heterophylla</i>	#	R
	<i>Maytenus</i> <i>undata</i>	#	R
CHENOPODIACEAE	<i>Cornulaca</i> <i>arabica</i>	*	LC
COMPOSITAE	<i>Anthemis</i> <i>sheilae</i>	*	LC
	<i>Anthemis</i> <i>zoharyana</i> ssp. <i>brachyota</i>	*	O
	<i>Centaurothamnus</i> <i>maximus</i>	*	L
	<i>Crepis</i> <i>sancta</i> ssp. <i>sancta</i>	*	O
	<i>Dicoma</i> <i>tomentosa</i>	#	R
	<i>Kleinia</i> <i>pendula</i>	#	LC
CONVOLVULACEAE	<i>Astripomea</i> <i>malvaceae</i>	X	
	<i>Convolvulus</i> <i>excelsus</i>	*	R
	<i>Convolvulus</i> <i>infantispinosus</i>	*	LC
	<i>Convolvulus</i> <i>siculus</i>	#	LC
	<i>Cuscuta</i> <i>hyalina</i>	X	
	<i>Xerostegia</i> <i>tridentata</i>	X	
CRUCIFERAE	<i>Dolichorhynchus</i> <i>arabicus</i>	*	R
	<i>Erysimum</i> <i>hedgianum</i>	*	R
CYPERACEAE	<i>Cyperus</i> <i>alternifolius</i> ssp. <i>flabelliformis</i>	–	O
DIPSACACEAE	<i>Pterocephalus</i> sp.aff. <i>sanctus</i>	*	R
	<i>Pterocephalus</i> <i>brevis</i>	#	R
DRACAENACEAE	<i>Dracaena</i> <i>ombet</i>	–	O
	<i>Sansevieria</i> <i>ehrenbergii</i>	–	FW
EBENACEAE	<i>Diosporus</i> <i>mespiliformis</i>	#	LC
ERICACEAE	<i>Erica</i> <i>arborea</i>	–	O




EUPHORBIACEAE	<i>Euphorbia agowensis</i>	X	
	<i>Euphorbia ammak</i>	–	LC
	<i>Euphorbia sp.aff.cactus</i>	–	LC
	<i>Euphorbia sp.aff.fruticosa</i>	*	R
	<i>Euphorbia pirottae</i>	X	
	<i>Euphorbia sp.aff.parciramulosa</i>	+	O
FLACOURTIACEAE	<i>Oncoba spinosa</i>	X	
GLOBULARIACEAE	<i>Globularia arabica</i>	#	LC
GRAMINAE	<i>Sporobolus pellucidus</i>	–	LC
	<i>Trisetaria chauharyana</i>	*	LC
HYACINTHACEAE	<i>Albuca pendula</i>	*	LC
	<i>Leopoldia tenuiflorum</i>	#	O
HYDNORACEAE	<i>Hydnora johannis</i>	#	R
HYPERICACEAE	<i>Hypericum collenettiae</i>	@	
IRIDACEAE	<i>Gladiolus dalenii</i>	–	O
	<i>Iris albicans</i>	#	R
	<i>Iris postii</i>	#	R
LABIATAE	<i>Ajuga arabica</i>	*	LC
	<i>Lallemantis royleana</i>	#	O
	<i>Nepeta sheilae</i>	*	LC
	<i>Phlomis brachyodon</i>	#	LC
	<i>Plectranthus arabicus</i>	X	
	<i>Teucrium popovii</i>	*	R
	<i>Thuspeinanta persica</i>	X	
	<i>Thymus decussatus</i>	#	R
LEGUMINOSAE	<i>Abrus precatorius</i>	#	LC
	<i>Acacia seyal</i>	–	L
	<i>Acacia seyal var.fistula</i>	–	L
	<i>Argyrolobium confertum</i>	#	LC
	<i>Argyrolobium crotalarioides</i>	*	LC
	<i>Astragalus collenettiae</i>	*	O
	<i>Astragalus echinus ssp.arabica</i>	*	L
	<i>Cicer cuneata</i>	X	
	<i>Crotolaria persica</i>	#	LC
	<i>Cyamopsis senegalensis</i>	#	LC
	<i>Desmodium gangeticum</i>	X	
	<i>Faidherbia albida</i>	#	R
	<i>Glycyrrhiza glabra</i>	#	R
	<i>Indigofera linifolia</i>	#	LC
	<i>Indigofera volkensii</i>	#	LC
	<i>Tephrosia pumila</i>	#	OL
	<i>Tephrosia subtriflora</i>	#	OL
	<i>Vigna ambacensis</i>	#	R
LILIACEAE	<i>Tulipa biflora</i>	#	R
MALVACEAE	<i>Alcea striata</i>	#	W
	<i>Pavonia hildebrandtii</i>	#	R
MORACEAE	<i>Dorstenia foetida</i>	–	FW
MORINGACEAE	<i>Moringa peregrina</i>	–	FW
MYRTACEAE	<i>Myrtus communis</i>	#	R


NYCTAGINACEAE	<i>Boerhavia elegans ssp.elegans</i>	*	R
OCHNACEAE	<i>Ochna inermis</i>	#	R
ORCHIDACEAE	<i>Bonatea steudneri</i>	#	R
	<i>Epipactis veratrifolia</i>	#	R
	<i>Eulophia guineensis</i>	#	O
	<i>Eulophia petersii</i>	–	FW
	<i>Eulophia speciosa</i>	#	
	<i>Holothrix arachnoidea</i>	–	O
PASSIFLORACEAE	<i>Adenia venenata</i>	–	O
PITTIOSPORACEAE	<i>Pittosporum viridiflorum ssp.arabicum</i>	#	R
POLYGONACEAE	<i>Atraphaxis spinosa</i>	#	O
	<i>Calligonum comosum</i>	–	W
	<i>Calligonum crinitum ssp.arabicum</i>	+	O
	<i>Persicaria amphibia</i>	X	
	<i>Rheum palaestinum</i>	X	
PRIMULACEAE	<i>Androsace maxima</i>	#	R
PSILOTACEAE	<i>Acrostichum aureum</i>	#	R
	<i>Psilotum nudum</i>	#	R
RANUNCULACEAE	<i>Delphinium sheilae</i>	*	FW
RESEDACEAE	<i>Ochradenus arabicus</i>	+	O
	<i>Reseda pentagyna</i>	*	LC
RHAMNACEAE	<i>Ziziphus mucronata</i>	#	L
RHIZOPHORACEAE	<i>Rhizophora mucronata</i>	–	O
ROSACEAE	<i>Crataegus sinaica</i>	#	R
	<i>Potentilla dentata</i>	#	R
	<i>Prunus korshinskyi</i>	#	OL
RUBIACEAE	<i>Breonadia salicina</i>	#	
	<i>Crucianella ciliata ssp.arabica</i>	*	R
	<i>Tarenna graveolens</i>	*	R
SALICACEAE	<i>Populus euphratica</i>	#	R
SAPINDACEAE	<i>Cardiospermum halicacabum</i>	#	R
SAPOTACEAE	<i>Mimusops laurifolia</i>	–	O
SCROPHULARIACEAE	<i>Chaenorhinum rubrifolium</i>	*	
	<i>Halleria lucida</i>	#	R
	<i>Harveya obtusifolia</i>	X	
	<i>Kickxia collenettiana</i>	@	
	<i>Kickxia elatina ssp.crinata</i>	X	
	<i>Verbascum decaisneanum</i>	#	LC
SOLANACEAE	<i>Physalis minima</i>	#	R
	<i>Solanum cordatum</i>	*	LW
STERCULIACEAE	<i>Glossostemon bruguieri</i>	#	O
TAMARICACEAE	<i>Tamarix tetragyna</i>	X	
THYMELACEAE	<i>Daphne linearifolia</i>	#	O
	<i>Thymelaea mesopotamica</i>	#	O
UMBELLIFERAE	<i>Oreoschimperella arabiae - felicis var.laevis</i>	*	O
	<i>Peucedanum inaccessum</i>	*	R
URTICACEAE	<i>Parietaria umbricola</i>	*	R
VALERIANACEAE	<i>Valerianella cf.sclerocarpa</i>	*	LC
	<i>Valerianella muricata</i>	#	LC


VELLOZIACEAE	<i>Xerophyta arabica</i>	#	R
--------------	--------------------------	---	---







**Fig. 4:** Periodical climate changes in Riyadh region – Airbase.







**Fig. 5:** Periodical climate changes in Riyadh region – Airport.







**Fig. 6:** Periodical climate changes in Gurayat city.






**Fig. 7:** Periodical climate changes in Arar city.







**Fig. 8:** Periodical climate changes in Gurayat city.








**Fig. 9:** Periodical climate changes in Hail city.





**Fig. 10:** Periodical climate changes in Qassim city.